



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,131	07/20/2001	Matthew Zavracky	0717.1086-011	1720
21005	7590 09/24/2002			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N	
	MA 01742-9133			
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			b			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/910,131	ZAVRACKY ET AL.	`			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANCIS NGUYEN	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irry (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lti					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ation)			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro		- ,,, ,	mon).			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. •			
S. Datent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/910,131

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-7 of U. S. Patent No. 6,452,572 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: communication device with color sequencing circuitry for display driving.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art of record is not relied upon, but pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

US Patent Bertram et al. 6,008,810

US Patent Tsoi 5,633,912

Reference Tsoi is cited because it discloses a mobile telephone with static visual display.

Reference Bertram et al. is cited because it discloses a mobile client system with LCD display.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCIS N NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703 308-8858. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

FRANCIS N NGUYEN Examiner

Art Unit 2674

flacelas 9/8/02